

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/722,764		11/28/2003	Jerry L. Thomas	T100330	7217	
27321	759	04/01/2004		EXAMINER		
ALVIN S. BLUM				BARRETT, SUZANNE LALE DINO		
2350 DELMAR PLACE FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33301				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
		·		3676		
				4		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/722,764	THOMAS, JERRY	′ L.				
Office Ad	tion Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>				
		Suzanne Dino Barrett	3676					
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	dress				
THE MAILING DATE  - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from the period for reply spectors. If NO period for reply is period for reply spectors. Failure to reply within the sany reply received by the sany reply received by the sany reply received.	ATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY E OF THIS COMMUNICATION. It available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 In the mailing date of this communication. Iffied above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply ecified above, the maximum statutory period we set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Office later than three months after the mailing ment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  rs will be considered timel the mailing date of this common					
Status								
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on 28 No	ovember 2003.						
2a) ☐ This action is I	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.						
3) Since this app								
closed in acco	rdance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
· <u> </u>	☑ Claim(s)is/are allowed. ☑ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.							
	<u> </u>							
8) Claim(s)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) Applicant may n Replacement dr	on is objected to by the Examiner filed on <u>28 November 2003</u> is/ar ot request that any objection to the cawing sheet(s) including the correctic claration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119							
12) Acknowledgme a) All b) Sc  1. Certified 2. Certified 3. Copies c	ent is made of a claim for foreign ome * c) None of: copies of the priority documents of the certified copies of the priority documents of the certified copies of the priorion from the International Bureaud detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s)		<b>"</b> □						
	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	D-152)				

Art Unit: 3676

.

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 7 and claim 2, line 7, the phrase "the fin to its closest contact" is not understood, thus rendering the claim indefinite.

### Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 1 and 2 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

The prior art of record fails to teach a rigid sleeve having opposing sides broad and narrow sides defining an aperture to receive a fin, and the broad sides with at least one hole to align with a hole in the fin to receive a cable lock mechanism, and a flange on the base of the rigid sleeve to cover the fin fasteners.

#### Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note the fin locking devices of MacDonald '154 (Fig.8), Wroble '680 (Fig. 5B), Williams '376, Stewart '949, especially.

Art Unit: 3676

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suzanne Dino Barrett whose telephone number is 703-308-0825. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 8:30-7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Knight can be reached on 703-308-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Suzande Dino Barrett Primary Examiner Art Unit 3676

sdb